Patient Satisfaction Level and Vision-Related Quality of Life: A Comparison between Trifocal and Diffractive Extended Depth of Focus (EDOF) IOLs

Ejaz Latif,¹ Mahlab Ijaz², Hassb Ali³, Aqsa Ejaz³, Kiran Fatima Mehboob⁴, Farah Chaudhary⁵

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE: To compare patient satisfaction level and vision-related quality of life after Trifocal and EDOF implants to treat cataracts among the population of Southern Punjab.

METHODOLOGY: This comparative practice-based study was conducted at the Sight Centre of Bahawalpur City of Southern Punjab from August 2022 to July 2023. Informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. A total of n=120 eyes were operated in two EDOF and Trifocal treatment cohorts. VR-QOL was assessed for near, intermediate, and distant vision. The data was analyzed on SPSS version 27. The p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS: There were n=29 (48.3%) males and n=31 (51.6%) females. The majority n=21 (35%) of subjects were aged 40-49. It was revealed that trifocal IOL demonstrated improved mean rank for near and intermediate vision. Statistically, a significant difference was found between putting thread into the needle and the mobile phone's utility at p-values of 0.047 and 0.040, respectively. Trifocal IOLs frequently generated the halo photic effect for light scattering at a P-value of 0.0001. Significant improvement was revealed in the VA of both eyes. The vision improved (100%) with Trifocal and EDOF group treatment options. Both treatment cohorts were satisfied with the final surgical outcome.

CONCLUSION: VA was improved after implanting Trifocal and EDOF IOLs. Trifocal IOL demonstrated better performance at near & distant vision. The halo photic effect was generated more frequently by the trifocal IOLs. Patients were equally satisfied with the surgical outcome of both treatment options.

KEYWORDS: Cataract, Corrected Near Visual Acuity (CNVA), Extended Depth of Focus (EDOF), Intraocular Lens, Trifocal, Visual Related Quality of Life (VR-QOL), Uncorrected Near Visual Acuity (UNVA), Uncorrected Intermediate Visual Acuity (UIVA).

INTRODUCTION

Vision-related quality of life (VR-QOL) is affected by visual impairment caused by cataracts¹. It affects 90% of the older population from 65 years and over². According to the WHO report of 2021, visual impairment and blindness greatly influence a person's ability to perform daily tasks such as household management, cooking, driving, performing at work, reading newspapers, recreational/leisure activities and watching news, etc³. Among older adults, social isolation, the risks of falls, fear of falling, lack of participation⁴ in social gatherings, and restriction in

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Bahawalpur Medical Dental Hospital, Bahawalpur, Punjab-Pakistan ²Islamia University Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur, Punjab-Pakistan ³Student, Bahawalpur Medical Dental College, Bahawalpur, Punjab-Pakistan ⁴Department of Education, Bahawalpur Medical Dental College, Bahawalpur, Punjab-Pakistan ⁵Department of Optometry, Bahawalpur Medical Dental College, Bahawalpur, Punjab-Pakistan Correspondence: kiranbana291@gmail.com doi: 10.22442/jlumhs.2024.01074 Received: 26-09-2023 Revised: 23-11-2023 Accepted: 28-11-2023 Published Online: 22-02-2024

physical activities⁵ can lead to depression⁶ due to visual disturbance. Thereby, quality of life deteriorates due to visual impairment and among elders increases anxiety disorders more rapidly as compared to the elders without visual impairment⁷.

Phacoemulsification with IOL implantation has been reported as a cost-effective treatment for treating cataract-related visual impairment and enhancing QOL among individuals in developed and developing countries⁸. During the last decades, various types of intraocular lenses (IOL) have been implanted in phacoemulsification procedures to treat cataracts' visual impairment. Literature reported that bifocal IOL provides similar visual acuity (VA) at near and distant vision and better outcomes at intermediate distant range (50-100cm) than standard monofocal IOL⁹. Most individuals depend on intermediate vision, which includes cooking, working a computer, socializing, controlling the car dashboard, eating, and shopping. Hence, bifocal IOL is a realistic option for performing daily activities. On the other hand, a trifocal lens splits lights into three different focal points to provide comparable VAs at all distances¹⁰ Per PI's knowledge, there is a lack of literature

available to compare the Trifocal and EDOF IOLs in this region of Southern Punjab. Therefore, this would

2024 © This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution – Non-Commercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution & reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is cited properly.

be the first significant study conducted in Southern Punjab, Pakistan, to compare patient satisfaction & VR-QOL among cataract patients treated with (EDOF) and trifocal lenses; indeed, it was the study's rationale. The study compared patient satisfaction levels and Vision-Related Quality of Life after EDOF and Trifocal Lens implants for cataract treatment.

METHODOLOGY

This comparative prospective practice-based study was conducted at Sight Centre of Bahawalpur City of Southern Punjab from August 2022 till July 2023. This study followed the study protocol of Helsinki. It was approved by the PI (HOD of the ophthalmology unit at Bahawalpur Medical College, BMC), the only ophthalmologist performing all cataract surgeries with EDOF and trifocal IOLs of the same company to validate the result outcomes. Moreover, ethical approval was obtained from IRB of BMC letter No. 03/2022. A total of n=60 subjects were selected and divided into two treatment groups of Trifocal IOLs n=30 and (EDOF) n=30 based on the affordability of the treatment cost. Hence, a total of n=120 eyes were operated in two treatment cohorts. Informed consent was obtained from included patients. Subjects who underwent bilateral cataract extraction surgery lived an active life, had no co-morbidities and from 20-65 years of age and were implanted either with EDOF IOLs or trifocal IOLs were included. Subjects associated with any ocular pathology, corneal opacities, diabetes retinopathy, night blindness, agerelated macular degeneration, pigmentosa, retinitis, macular holes, bedridden and dementia patients were excluded. The data was collected on tailor-made proforma after an extensive literature search. The study tool was constructed by considering the vital domains of patients' lives which can be affected after surgery to measure visual functional recovery. Four consultant ophthalmologists validated the tool. The first section was about demographic data; pre- & postoperative visual acuity was assessed, and VR-QOL was recorded based on near, intermediate, and distant vision, with three items each. The responses were recorded on a three-point Likert scale rated 1=agree, 2=neutral and 3=disagree. Patient satisfaction with the final surgical outcome was extracted from Monestam E 1999¹¹, which had three items: improvement of vision, improved QOL, and whether surgery meets your expectations. The items had yes and no responses. The data was analyzed on SPSS version 27. The normality of data was checked with the help of the Shapiro-Wilk test. The Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare the mean rank of the two treatment groups. The p-value < 0.05was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

There were a total of n=60 subjects, from which n=29 (48.3%) were female and n=31 (51.6%) were males. The majority n=21 (35%) of subjects were from 40-49 years of age, and the majority n=26 (43.3%) were

J Liaquat Uni Med Health Sci JANUARY - MARCH 2024; Vol 23: No. 01

graduated. Among gender and cataract treatment groups, a statistically significant difference was found at a p-value of 0.060 Table I. Most females were housewives Figure I. Comparing the VR-QOL in both cohorts revealed that trifocal IOL demonstrated an improved mean rank for near vision and intermediate vision. Statistically, a significant difference was found between putting thread into the needle and the mobile phone's utility at p-values of 0.047 and 0.040, respectively. The halo photic effect was generated more frequently by the trifocal IOLs. The mean rank was improved in the EDOF IOL group in a reading score of a cricket match and the time on the wall clock as 31.88 and 32.53, respectively, but a significant difference was found for the scattering of light at Pvalue 0.0001 Table II. The bilateral visual acuity after the surgery was assessed in EDOF and Trifocal groups, and significant improvement was observed in VA in both eyes. The vision improved among 100% of the subjects with Trifocal and EDOF group treatment options. Patients were satisfied with the final surgical outcome of both trifocal and EDOF IOLs Figure II.

Table I: Demographics of EDOF and TrifocalGroups for Cataract Surgery

Demographic Data		EDOF n=30	Trifocal n=30	P-Value
Gender	Male Female	12 18	19 11	0.060*
Age	30-39 Years 40-49 Years 50-59 Years >/= 60 Years	8 11 6 5	4 10 9 7	0.510**
Education Level	Below Matric Matric Intermediate Graduation Uneducated	8 4 6 12 0	3 4 7 14 2	0.342**
Living Area	Urban Rural	17 13	15 15	0.398*

*Fischer's Exact Test, **Chi-Square

Figure I: Occupation of the subjects (n=60)



Table II: Comparing the Mean Rank of VR-QOL parameters in EDOF and Trifocal Lens

VR-QOL Parameters		EDOF	Trifocal	P-value
Near Vision	Threads into needle	26.65	34.35	0.047*
	Read Quran-e-Pak	28.60	32.40	0.300
	Use Mobile Phone	26.92	34.08	0.040*
Interme- diate Vision	Perform cooking	28.50	32.50	0.088*
	Use computer	29.50	31.50	0.154
	See speedometer	30.97	30.03	0.584
Distant Vision	Read the score of a cricket match	31.88	29.12	0.379
	See the time on the wall clock	32.53	28.47	0.083*
	Scattering of Light (Glare, halo)	23.45	37.55	0.000*

Mann-Whitney U Test, Asymp.Sig. (2 tailed) Figure II: Patient Satisfaction Level with Final Surgical Outcome of IOLs



DISCUSSION

This study aimed to compare Patient Satisfaction Level and VR-QOL with clinical outcomes among cataract patients following the implantation of trifocal intraocular lenses (IOLs) and (EDOF) IOLs. The study provides the most recent and reliable evidence to assist in selecting appropriate IOLs for patients. The analysis of this study revealed that trifocal IOLs demonstrated superior uncorrected near visual acuity (UNVA) compared to EDOF-IOLs, supported by highquality evidence. However, the EDOF group exhibited better results in uncorrected intermediate visual acuity (UIVA). Furthermore, freedom from spectacles for near vision was achieved among subjects of the trifocal group. Still, photic effects such as glare and halos were experienced more in this trifocal group.

The result of this study is in unity with the study of de Medeiros et al.¹⁴ regarding patient satisfaction level and visual outcomes for trifocal and (EDOF) which indicated that trifocals performed better in near visual acuity. Moreover, both trifocals and EDOFs demonstrated comparable "excellent" distance and

intermediate visual acuity levels. Additionally, a high percentage of patients in both groups achieved spectacle independence, and disturbing photic phenomena were minimal.

de Medeiros et al.¹⁴ compared the visual outcomes and clinical performance of trifocal and EDOF lenses for six months. In this study, a total of 52 eyes of 26 patients for bilateral cataract surgery were divided into two groups: group A received trifocal lenses (FineVision, PhysIOL, not approved in the United States) and group B received EDOF lenses (Tecnis Symfony). Both groups had improved subjective quality of vision on short, intermediate and long distances.

A meta-analysis by Bourne RRA et al. ⁹ found that trifocals outperformed multifocal with two focal points regarding intermediate vision. The study compared the clinical performance of these two types of lenses. Similarly, a study by Miyata K in 2021⁵ revealed that trifocals were superior to bifocal multifocal in intermediate vision. Additionally, trifocals provided comparable or better distance and near vision without compromising visual quality.

Packer M 2003¹³ discussed visual function as a daily activity directly affecting QOL. The outcome of presbyopia, refractive lens exchange (RLE) surgery and cataract surgery is to achieve a full range of vision from near to far and to get freedom from the spectacles.

Literature supported the superiority of trifocal IOLs over mono-focal and bifocal lenses due to the better near and intermediate vision in various meta-analyses and clinical studies¹⁴⁻¹⁶.

Another aspect that has received limited attention in this study is patients' quality of vision, eye care habits and life experiences. Patient's subjective benefits and the attainment of freedom from spectacle over time are crucial factors that influence their expectations. In this study, approximately 80% of patients achieved spectacle independence following the implantation of multifocal IOLs. This study evaluated Spectacle independence across all distances to provide a more comprehensive perspective. Strong evidence indicated that more patients in the trifocal group achieved spectacle independence at near distances observed. However, as spectacle dependence is a subjective assessment influenced by individual habits and real-life contexts, caution should be exercised when interpreting this conclusion.

Any disruption in the transmission of light through the optical axis can result in subjective misperception and various types of photopsia, with glare and halos being the most commonly reported photic effects. Factors such as cataracts or multifocal IOLs can contribute to these disturbances. While up to 90% of patients reported experiencing halos following trifocal IOL implantation in the current study, these effects were generally not considered bothersome in the majority of cases. However, it is essential to note that most studies reported the presence of glare and halos

based on subjective reports, lacking an objective evaluation system¹⁷. An inconsistent conclusion was drawn from these studies.

In contrast to a previous meta-analysis¹⁸, the result of this study indicated a higher incidence of halos with trifocal IOLs. The design of trifocal IOLs inherently leads to a loss of light transmission ranging from 18% to 20%, resulting in a relatively blurred image. Although such visual disturbances may hinder the widespread acceptance of trifocal IOLs, they were generally deemed acceptable in most studies, as the assessment of photopsia heavily relies on patients' subjective perceptions and tolerance¹⁷. Regarding subjective visual function questionnaires and visual quality in this study, both groups achieved high satisfaction levels and postoperative visual quality. Additionally, the process of neuroadaptation and optical compromise are also significant factors. Therefore, the postoperative follow-up period is relevant in assessing how well patients adjust to the retinal image.

It was revealed that trifocal IOL demonstrated improved mean rank for near vision and intermediate vision, and a statistically significant difference was found while putting thread into needle and utility of mobile phone at p-values of 0.047 and 0.040, respectively. This study assessed intermediate vision by cooking, using a computer and the ability to see a speedometer without spectacles. Intermediate distance visual activities, such as operating machines, driving, working, etc., are essential daily. This result of the study agrees with the study of Bilbao-Calabuig R et al.¹⁰, which depicted that near and intermediate vision was improved with a Trifocal lens, a significant characteristic of Trifocal IOL over a mono-focal lens. Rodov L 2019¹⁹. claimed that the Trifocal IOL most likely causes glare and halo photo disturbances. The frequent halo photic effect by the trifocal IOLs was due to the design properties, which inevitably reduce contrast sensitivity and generate photic effects¹

However, VA is not the only parameter used to assess the VR-QOL. VAs at all distances should be assessed before and after cataract surgery to evaluate the impact of treatment. This study evaluated VA at all distances before and after treating trifocal and EDOF IOLs. The bilateral visual acuity after the surgery was assessed in EDOF and Trifocal groups, and significant improvement was observed with both IOLs. This result is congruent with the study of Webers VSE et al.²⁰ and Singh B 2020²¹, which compares visual outcomes after bilateral implantation with trifocal and EDOF IOLs.

While comparing patient satisfaction with the final surgical outcome, this study revealed that vision was improved by 100% with both treatment options of the Trifocal and EDOF group, and these results are comparable with the study of de Medeiros et al.¹⁴. Patients were satisfied with the final surgical outcome of both trifocal and EDOF IOLs **Figure II**. To bring the maximum visual benefits with surgical outcomes, we

J Liaquat Uni Med Health Sci JANUARY - MARCH 2024; Vol 23: No. 01

must familiarize ourselves with the characteristics of IOLs in real clinical settings. It depends on preoperative assessments, daily activities, economic status, and personalities.

The findings of this study indicate that trifocal intraocular lenses (IOLs) outperform EDOF IOLs in terms of near-distance vision. However, it should be noted that trifocal IOLs can lead to the occurrence of photic effects, such as halos. In clinical practice, it is essential to understand the characteristics of different IOLs to meet patients' expectations and ensure longsatisfaction. Nevertheless, apart term from considering IOL features, factors such as patients' personalities, expectations, preoperative conditions, and economic status should also be considered. It is recommended that more evidence-based publications and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) be conducted to establish guidelines for IOL selection, aiming to maximize visual benefits and address individual visual requirements in the future.

Various limitations of this study should be documented, such as the small sample size. VR-QOL is not only influenced by VA. Hence, aberration, contrast sensitivity, dominant eye, cognitive function, depressive state, and stereoscopic vision of the patient must be evaluated in future with a larger sample size to assess the impact of cataract surgery assessment, which is not evaluated in this study.

CONCLUSION

VA was improved after implanting Trifocal and EDOF IOLs. Trifocal IOL demonstrated better performance at near & distant vision. The halo photic effect was generated more frequently by the trifocal IOLs. Patients were equally satisfied with the surgical outcome of both treatment options.

Ethics Statement: Bahawalpur Medical Dental College, Bahawalpur, IRB letter No. 03/2022.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to declare

Financial Disclosure / Grant Approval: This research did not receive specific funding from any financially supporting body.

Data Sharing Statement: The corresponding author can provide the data proving the findings of this study on request. Privacy or ethical restrictions bound us from sharing the data publically.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Latif E: Proofreading Ijaz M: Writeup Ali H: Literature search Ejaz A: Literature search Fatima K: Statistical analysis, writeup Chaudhary F: Data collection, tabulation, proofreading

REFERENCES

1. Akpolat C, Demir M, Cevher S, Ozturk SZ, Yesiltas S. The impact of phacoemulsification

surgery on vision-related quality of life in senile cataract patients. Ther Adv Ophthalmol. 2022; 14: 25158414211063293. doi: 10.1177/25158414211 063293.

- GBD 2019 Blindness and Vision Impairment collaborators, Vision Loss Expert Group of the Global Burden of Disease Study, Steinmetz JD, Bourne RR, Briant PS, Flaxman SR et al. Causes of blindness and vision impairment in 2020 and trends over 30 years, and prevalence of avoidable blindness in relation to VISION 2020: the Right to Sight: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet Glob Health. 2021; 9(2): e144-e160. doi: 10.1016/ S2214-109X(20)30489-7. Epub 2020 Dec 1.
- 3. Organization WH. Blindness and Vision impairment. World Health Organization. October 14, 2021. Available from: http://www.who.int/news -room/fact-sheets/detail/ blindness-and-visualimpairment.
- Shah K, Frank CR, Ehrlich JR. The association between vision impairment and social participation in community-dwelling adults: a systematic review. Eye. 2020; 34(2): 290-298. doi:10.1038/ s41433-019-0712-8.
- Miyata K, Yoshikawa T, Harano A, Ueda T, Ogata N. Effects of visual impairment on mobility functions in elderly: results of Fujiwara-kyo Eye Study. PLoS One. 2021; 16(1): e0244997. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0244997.
- IAPB Vision Atlas. Number of people affected by vision loss; 2020. Available from: http:// atlas.iapb.org/global-burden-vision-impairment/ gbviglobal- cause-estimates. Accessed May 10, 2022.
- Binder KW, Wrzesińska MA, Kocur J. Anxiety in persons with visual impairment. Psychiatr Pol. 2020; 54(2): 279-88. doi: 10.12740/PP/ OnlineFirst/85408. Epub 2020 Apr 30.
- Makabe K, Oshika T, Inamura M, Hayashi K, Sugita G, Kozawa T et al. Influence of cataract surgery for the first or second eye on visionrelated quality of life (VR-QOL) and the predictive factors of VR-QOL improvement. Jpn J Ophthalmol. 2020; 64(5): 468-477. doi: 10.1007/ s10384-020-00762-z. Epub 2020 Aug 2.
- Bourne RRA, Flaxman SR, Braithwaite T, Cicinelli MV, Das A, Jonas JB et al. Magnitude, temporal trends, and projections of the global prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2017; 5(9): e888e897. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(17)30293-0.
- Bilbao-Calabuig R, Gonzalez-Lopez F, Amparo F, Alvarez G, Patel SR, Liovet-Osuna F. Comparison between mix-and-match implantation of bifocal intraocular lenses and bilateral implantation of trifocal intraocular lenses. J Refract Surg. 2016; 32(10): 659-663. doi: 10.3928/1081597X-201606 30-01.

J Liaquat Uni Med Health Sci JANUARY - MARCH 2024; Vol 23: No. 01

- 11. Monestam E, Wachtmeister L. Dissatisfaction with cataract surgery in relation to visual results in a population-based study in Sweden. J Cataract Refract Surg. 1999; 25(8):1127-34. doi: 10.1016/s0886-3350(99)00135-2.
- Wan Y, Zhao L, Huang C, Xu Y, Sun M, Yang Y et al. Validation and comparison of the National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire 25 (NEI VFQ 25) and the Visual Function Index 14 (VF 14) in patients with cataracts: a multicentre study. Acta Ophthalmol. 2021; 99(4): e480-e488.
- Packer M, Fine IH, Hoffman RS. Functional vision, contrast sensitivity, and optical aberrations. Int Ophthalmol Clin 2003; 43(2): 1-3. doi: 10.1097/ 00004397-200343020-00003.
- de Medeiros AL, Saraiva FJ, Iguma CI, Kniggendorf DV, Alves G, Dias Chaves MA et al. Comparison of visual outcomes after bilateral implantation of two intraocular lenses with distinct difractive optics. Clin Ophthalmol. 2019; 13: 1657-1663.
- Shen Z, Lin Y, Zhu Y, Liu X, Yan J, Yao K. Clinical comparison of patient outcomes following implantation of trifocal or bifocal intraocular lenses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2017; 7: 45337. doi: 10.1038/srep45337
- 16. Cao K, FriedmanDS, Jin S, Yusufu M, Zhang J, Wang J et al. Multifocal versus mono-focal intraocular lenses for age-related cataract patients: a system review and meta-analysis based on randomized controlled trials. Surv Ophthalmol. 2019; 64(5): 647-658. doi: 10.1016/ j.survophthal.2019.02.012. Epub 2019 Mar 6.
- 17. Wolfsohn JS, Davies LN. Presbyopia: effectiveness of correction strategies. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2019; 68: 124-143. doi: 10.1016/ j.preteyeres.2018.09.004. Epub 2018 Sep 19.
- Zhong Y, Wang K, Yu X, Liu X, Yao K. Comparison of trifocal or hybrid multifocalextended depth of focus intraocular lenses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 2021; 11(1): 6699. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-86222-1.
- Rodov L, Řeitblat O, Levy A, Assia EI, Kleinmann G. Visual outcomes and patient satisfaction for trifocal, extended depth of focus and monofocal intraocular lenses. J Refract Surg. 2019; 35(7): 434-440. doi: 10.3928/1081597X-20190618-01.
- 20. Webers VSC, Bauer NJ, Saelens IE, Creten OJ, Berendschot TT, van den Biggelaar FJ et al. Comparison of the intermediate distance of a trifocal IOL with an extended depth-of-focus IOL: results of a prospective randomized trial. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2020; 46(2): 193-203. doi: 10.1097/j.jcrs.000000000000012.
- Singh B, Sharma S, Dadia S, Bharti N, Bharti S. Comparative evaluation of visual outcomes after bilateral implantation of a diffractive trifocal intraocular lens and an extended depth of focus intraocular lens. Eye Contact Lens. 2020; 46(5): 314-318. doi: 10.1097/ICL.00000000000000637.